

Perkins, Stephen

From: Kelly_Mansfield@dom.com
Sent: Friday, February 01, 2002 1 41 PM
To: Ashenden, Matt
Cc: Jay_Griles@dom.com
Subject: As-Built Spreadsheet for Peninsula Build



AS-BUILT SPREAD
SHEET.xls

Matt,

Please find attached an example of the proposed as-built spreadsheet.

This document would be filled out in the field by the lineman and team supervisor as they work each pole. I envision this completed as a notebook

for each permit. Each pole will have its own sheet with the approval signatures at the bottom. This is a very simple, uncluttered and easy to read. Please respond with your comments.

Thank you

Kelly Mansfield

(See attached file: AS-BUILT SPREAD SHEET.xls)

Perkins, Stephen

From: Ashenden, Matt
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2002 12 33 PM
To: 'Jay_Griles@dom.com'
Cc: 'kelly_mansfield@vapower.com'; Heinze, Daniel
Subject: RE: Fiber Build - Peninsula

Jay,

Since getting your voice mail this morning I found the cost letter dated January 22, 2002 from Kelly Mansfield in my incoming mail. Per your message it is my understanding that this represents the estimated cost for a single entity to do the complete make-ready effort, but that Cavalier still needs to resolve some procedural concerns with Cox Communications. I will take action on this once the make-ready costs are approved

Regarding the cost letter, it seems that we are circling around again on issues that I thought we had resolved. Specifically, this cost estimate does not give enough information for Cavalier engineers to conduct a field review that would determine if we concur with the proposed make-ready. As I recall, there was to be a spreadsheet that defined, on a pole by pole and attachment by attachment basis, what was called out at the engineering stage. Then as the process progressed, information would be added based on what was done by the construction crew and then what was found by the inspecting entities (attachers). In short, "Engineered", "Actual" and "Inspected".

Please forward the details associated with what is being called out at the engineering stage.

Thank-you.

Matt R. Ashenden

-----Original Message-----

From: Jay_Griles@dom.com [mailto:Jay_Griles@dom.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2002 8:03 AM
To: Ashenden, Matt
Subject: Re: Fiber Build - Peninsula

Matt,

We are moving forward in our effort to release the section to which you refer below. I am meeting with some of the affected parties tomorrow (Tuesday Jan. 15th). I will contact you later this week following that meeting.

J

"Ashenden,

Matt"
(E-mail)" <jay_griles@vapower.com>
<mashenden@ca

To: "Jay Griles - Va Power
cc:

Peninsula

vtel.com>

Subject: Fiber Build -

01/02/02

07:11 PM

Jay,

This is in follow-up to our conversation about concerns that existing attachers had regarding the process of using a single make-ready contractor and what would happen if problems were encountered in the field where the contractor could not easily define an obvious attachment option.

I recommend that Cavalier be notified when such a problem is encountered. After Cavalier has reviewed the situation, we will request participation from the proper parties to resolve it. Cavalier will accept responsibility for paying for this effort.

To see the extent of how often this is going to happen, I recommend that we take one section at a time. While in the past we requested the Jefferson to Nettles segment, time has changing this priority. If it does not represent a major set back in time, our priority is now to complete the Huntington to Harpersville segment first. If such a change would result in a notable delay in testing this process, then we will stay with the original plan.

Given that this trial seems to have lost momentum, Cavalier requests that it be put to the test and that the make-ready for this segment be completed by January 31, 2002.

Sincerely,

Matt R. Ashenden

Perkins, Stephen

From: Jay_Griles@dom.com
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2002 8:03 AM
To: Ashenden, Matt
Subject: Re: Fiber Build - Peninsula

Matt,

We are moving forward in our effort to release the section to which you refer below. I am meeting with some of the affected parties tomorrow (Tuesday Jan. 15th). I will contact you later this week following that meeting.

J

"Ashenden,
Matt"
(E-mail)" <jay_griles@vapower.com>
<mashenden@ca
vtel.com>
Peninsula

To: "Jay Griles - Va Power
cc:
Subject: Fiber Build -

01/02/02

07:11 PM

Jay,

This is in follow-up to our conversation about concerns that existing attachers had regarding the process of using a single make-ready contractor and what would happen if problems were encountered in the field where the contractor could not easily define an obvious attachment option.

I recommend that Cavalier be notified when such a problem is encountered. After Cavalier has reviewed the situation, we will request participation from the proper parties to resolve it. Cavalier will accept responsibility for paying for this effort.

To see the extent of how often this is going to happen, I recommend that we take one section at a time. While in the past we requested the Jefferson to

Nettles segment, time has changing this priority. If it does not represent a major set back in time, our priority is now to complete the Huntington to Harpersville segment first. If such a change would result in a notable delay in testing this process, then we will stay with the original plan.

Given that this trial seems to have lost momentum, Cavalier requests that it be put to the test and that the make-ready for this segment be completed by January 31, 2002.

Sincerely,

Matt R. Ashenden

Perkins, Stephen

From: Ashenden, Matt
Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2002 7:12 PM
To: 'Jay Griles - Va Power (E-mail)'
Subject: Fiber Build - Peninsula

Importance: High

Jay,

This is in follow-up to our conversation about concerns that existing attachers had regarding the process of using a single make-ready contractor and what would happen if problems were encountered in the field where the contractor could not easily define an obvious attachment option.

I recommend that Cavalier be notified when such a problem is encountered. After Cavalier has reviewed the situation, we will request participation from the proper parties to resolve it. Cavalier will accept responsibility for paying for this effort.

To see the extent of how often this is going to happen, I recommend that we take one section at a time. While in the past we requested the Jefferson to Nettles segment, time has changed this priority. If it does not represent a major set back in time, our priority is now to complete the Huntington to Harpersville segment first. If such a change would result in a notable delay in testing this process, then we will stay with the original plan.

Given that this trial seems to have lost momentum, Cavalier requests that it be put to the test and that the make-ready for this segment be completed by January 31, 2002.

Sincerely,

Matt R. Ashenden

Perkins, Stephen

From: Ashenden, Matt
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2001 3:31 PM
To: 'Tim_Hess@dom.com'
Cc: 'Jay_Griles@dom.com'
Subject: RE: Cavalier Permits Post-inspected

Based on the information that Tim provided last week, we have Permits 7, 8, 9 and 10 in the Hunter Mill Road area and Permits 55, 56, 57 and 58 on Rt 7 going toward Sterling that are still open.

I am not sure if these segments have been completed. I recall there being some lingering make-ready issues. Unfortunately our outside plant people in that area have been laid off. I will need to check into the status of the construction in these areas and let you know.

Did KMC or Cox respond back to you on their accepting what was discussed in the meeting Sept 18 in Norfolk?

Matt R. Ashenden

-----Original Message-----

From: Tim_Hess@dom.com [mailto:Tim_Hess@dom.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2001 11:49 AM
To: Ashenden, Matt
Cc: Jay_Griles@dom.com
Subject: Cavalier Permits Post-inspected

Permits post-inspected before TRO.

99-12
99-13
99-14
99-26

Permits post-inspected after TRO.

99-1
99-2
99-11
99-15
99-16
99-17
99-44 part 2
99-45
99-49
99-76

Perkins, Stephen

From: Ashenden, Matt
Sent: Friday, August 17, 2001 4:21 PM
To: 'Jay Griles - Va Power (E-mail)'
Cc: Heinze, Daniel
Subject: FW: Newport News Build

Importance: High

Jay,

I hope you had a good vacation. Please call me when you have considered the following issues.

Regarding the first point in Kelly's e-mail, below, it was my understanding that having the attachers (Cox and KMC) review the call-outs only applied to the area on the Peninsula built during the TRO, but not to areas not constructed. Hopefully this is what you understood as well.

As noted in your e-mail of August 10, your group has only focused on the TRO issues in the Peninsula area, but has not focused on addressing the areas still in need of construction and that you expect Cavalier to coordinate these engineering efforts with KMC and Cox. Please recall that the vision of our meetings was to implement a process where the attachers agree that a single, qualified contractor would do the engineering and make-ready (or even one for engineering and another for M/R), thus eliminating multiple trips by multiple parties. Also, another point that Brad agreed to was addressing any significant issues created during the TRO under the premise that the overall process improved.

In case there has been a misunderstanding, Cavalier does not feel that the process for any new construction should include 1) each attacher field inspecting the call-outs or 2) multiple engineering/make-ready efforts coordinated by Cavalier or any other entity. The problem with each attacher field inspecting the call-outs is that it is likely that these attachers will also want to perform post inspections when the work is done (a seemingly reasonable request when not combined with all the other efforts). Field inspection callouts, multiple engineering efforts and multiple post inspections all represent additional steps creeping back into the process.

On a separate issue, we just received the first Statement of Expense on the new account in N. Va. Upon reviewing this statement I noticed that there are charges that are not tied to a permit. The description states "Post Inspection with Glenn Telford", without further detail. As I recall we had agreed that future billings would include such detail. Cavalier also requests that your company strike a balance between charges to these accounts that relate to the TRO issues and those that relate to the future build.

Lastly, I would like to discuss Verizon's refusal to participate in our process. Given that they are the lowest attacher and have never been cooperative in doing the make-ready themselves in a timely manner, their position represents a huge problem. I'd like to discuss this to see if there are any other options.

I recommend that we meet to discuss these further. Please let me know when you are available.

Best regards,

Matt R. Ashenden
Director of Engineering

-----Original Message-----

From: Heinze, Daniel
Sent: Friday, August 17, 2001 11:45 AM
To: Ashenden, Matt
Cc: Bissoon, Mark; Richardson, Jerry
Subject: Newport News Build

Matt,

I just spoke with Kelly at VP and here's what has happened so far

- Kelly told me that you and Jay had discussions about Cox & KMC going out to look at poles to verify they could do

what was called out and then have Mastek do the MRW - that was as much information she had on that - didn't know if you both agreed to this

- KMC has already gone out and did their pre-inspection on everything and Kelly said she wasn't sure if there was going to be a cost involved - referred back to your conversation with Jay as to what you agreed to
- Cox has not gone out for a pre-inspection and Kelly cannot get an answer on how they want to handle it until this Monday
- Kelly suggested that instead of having Cox & KMC do a post inspection she would suggest VP doing it instead of both of them
- Kelly is going to contact Mastek to get a unit price for the various MRW types

She will be contacting you next Monday as to what she finds out on the issues above.

Mark & Jerry will be going out next week to verify VP callouts on the post inspection to insure they are the most cost effective calls. They are also comparing the VP callouts on the spreadsheets for the new build to confirm the call outs were what we agreed to in the field - so far there are guys being called for where we told them we can't anchor so we are going to use slack spans. But for the most part everything seems to be correct as to what we agreed to in the field.

Dan

Perkins, Stephen

From: Jay_Griles@dom.com
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2001 6:24 PM
To: Ashenden, Matt
Cc: Sims, Larry; Heinze, Daniel; Perkins, Stephen; Ed_Wickham@dom.com; John_D_Sharer@dom.com; Kelly_Mansfield@dom.com; Mike_Roberts@dom.com; Tim_Hess@dom.com; Richard_Jessee@dom.com
Subject: RE Status Update



Mac Word 3.0

Matt,
Northern - There are six attachers along the 15 mile route that covers the pilot for the single contractor. I have contacted all six by phone and have had responses from five. I met with three of the attachers this week the other two returned my call today.

MFN is very interested and is willing at this point to participate. They are reviewing the route in detail to determine their issues and will contact me once the review is complete. They are on a very limited number of poles along this route.

Comcast is open to the concept of a single contractor. They are preparing a document that will outline the criteria they require be met in order to participate. They are also reviewing their approved contractor list to assure Mastec is approved to work on their facilities. They intend to provide this information next week.

Verizon is not interested in participating from an engineering prospective. They only allow engineering of their facilities by Verizon employees or contractors employed directly by Verizon. This policy is related to several concerns including safety, reliability, and coordination of activity related to the installation, removal, and rearrangement of their plant. There may be a window of opportunity on the construction side however that coordination will be very complicated due to contractor and labor issues. They also pointed out that your requirement to permit any of their poles to which you wish to attach will need to be coordinated with this effort.

Cox has reorganized and I am awaiting the phone number of the proper contact.

MCI Worldcom discussed the issue with me over the phone and will discuss it internally next week and get back with me once they have reviewed it.

Mastec has participated with me in the meetings and proven very helpful in our discussions. They are proceeding to work with Verizon and Comcast in an effort to gain approval to work on those companies facilities in the area of this build. By copy of this E-mail I am forwarding them the draft statement of work. The changes I made to your original draft were minor and I thought it would be good to get Mastec's input before we finalize the document.

(See attached file: Statement of Work - Trial revised.doc)

I will be on vacation the week of the 13th through the 17th. Upon my return on August 20th we should have considerable input from the existing attachers. I will contact you then.

Eastern - The discussions I had with Cox and KMC dealt directly with the issues that exist as a result of the installations during the time frame covered by the TRO. Dominion Va. Power's engineering for the new segments was complete and the cost were in the hands of Cavalier when I spoke with Cox and KMC. By copy of this E-mail I will request Kelly Mansfield to pursue the possibility of Dominion Va. Power performing the make ready construction work for the communications attachers along this new build route. However you will need to deal directly with Cox and KMC to have the engineering performed. I was my understanding while talking with Cox and KMC that outstanding disputes would not delay work on the poles. If this is not the case please contact me and I will contact Cox and KMC (next week in my absence please contact Kelly if you experience difficulty with this issue).

Central - The escrow for the post-inspection of the build in Central should not in any way be tied to the issues in the other regions. The safety and reliability of our system are put at additional risk as the post-inspection is delayed. If you are concerned about the efficiency of the post-inspection I suggest you provide a representative to accompany our engineer as you have in Northern. Please provide Mike Roberts with a check by August 17th to establish an escrow account so we can perform the post-inspection of the Central build. I suggest the amount be \$10,000 as we have used in the other regions.

I too look forward to completing your networks as quickly and efficiently as possible.

Jay

"Ashenden, Matt" <mashenden@cavtel.com> on 08/09/2001 08:53:25 AM

To: Jay Griles/COMMOPS/VANCPower@VANCPower
cc: "Sims, Larry" <lsims@cavtel.com>, "Heinze, Daniel"
<dheinze@cavtel.com>,
"Perkins, Stephen" <sperkins@cavtel.com>

Subject: RE: Status Update

Jay,

Thank you for the update. I'd like to make a few comments/questions so that we are all on the same page. Please call to discuss further if any of them concern you or are not in line with how things are going.

Northern - I would appreciate it if you could let me know how the meetings went this week with the attachers in that area. I'm interested to know if they are willing to accept the improved process that allows a single entity to complete the make-ready.

Eastern - Is the progress that you noted with Cox and KMC related to only correcting existing issues that were created during the TRO or does it include getting agreement from these entities to allow a single make-ready effort to complete the few segments that have not started construction on the Peninsula?

Central - Cavalier is waiting to provide money for the post inspection of the Richmond network until we can get a sense of the success of the improved process. As I noted in an e-mail sent recently, Cavalier will make funds available to post inspect poles that have new applicants, in an effort to not inconvenience others, as long as the post inspection is done in an efficient manner during the new engineering effort and not as a separate effort. Please see this guarded approach as our effort to ensure that we do not spend more on a network that cost notably more than it should have until we have some sense that a solution has been found.

Your efforts on these matters are appreciated. Cavalier looks forward to completing these networks using the revised process.

Matt R. Ashenden
Director of Engineering

-----Original Message-----

From: Jay_Griles@dom.com [mailto:Jay_Griles@dom.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 2:44 PM
To: mashenden@cavtel.com
Cc: Kelly_Mansfield@dom.com; Cheryl_Hunt@dom.com; Tim_Hess@dom.com;
Mike_Roberts@dom.com; William_Glascock@dom.com
Subject: Status Update

Matt,

Welcome back from vacation. I will use this E-mail from 7/10 as a format to update you on the status of the various ongoing activities.

Eastern

1. An escrow account of \$10,000 is in place for engineering activity in Eastern.

2. The engineers from Cavalier and Dominion have surveyed the seven permits that had not been previously released to Cavalier. Some engineering was required to update the cost on those permits. The cost for three permits will be submitted to Cavalier (Dan Heinze) on Wednesday July 11th. The remaining four permits had issues which Cavalier's field engineer requested to be referred to Dan. This discussion will also occur on Wednesday. My discussions with Dan have revealed that the engineering for these permits has been completed and the cost letters are in the hands of Cavalier awaiting payment. Please see additional information following Eastern issue number 3.

3. Permits (23) covering poles to which Cavalier has attached are in the process of post inspection. This post inspection was originally planned as a joint effort however, Cavalier has requested that Dominion proceed with post inspection without the presence of a Cavalier engineer. The post inspection is ongoing. Issues discovered on the post inspection will be referred to Cavalier on an ongoing basis. These issues should be cleared in a timely manner. Issues requiring solely the rearrangement of other attachers prior to Cavalier's correction effort will be discussed with those attachers.

The post inspection of these permits is ongoing. Several issues exist in the field that are related to Cavalier's construction following the issuance of the district court's temporary restraining order (TRO). The resolutions of these issues are complicated by the dispute between Cavalier and other attachers (Cox and KMC) whose attachments were existing on some of

these poles at the time of Cavalier's attachment. On Thursday July 26th I spent the day in the field familiarizing myself with issues and determining possible solutions. On July the 30th I met with Cox and KMC to discuss possible resolutions that would allow Cavalier to complete your build in Eastern and leave the poles in compliance with NESC and ready for possible future attachers. Both Cox and KMC agreed to work with us in order to "clean up" the poles. When Cheryl Hunt, the engineer handling the Cavalier build in Eastern, returns from vacation on August 6th she will contact Cox and KMC and work out the details for the process of clearing issues on poles with attachments as well as proceeding with make ready for the seven permits mentioned in issue 2 above. On August 1st I spoke with Dan Heinze to make him aware of the progress that had been made and also to alerted him that Ms. Hunt may be contacting him around the 6th of August to discuss matters such as sharing a contractor with KMC to clear some issues that will require close coordination between the two companies as well as other matters that may arise.

4. The engineering escrow account will be replenished based on a monthly statement from Dominion. You will soon be receiving a statement so the escrow amount can be replenished.

Central

1. Post inspection of nearly the entire build (approximately 160 permits) has yet to be performed. I requested you have Dan Heinze contact Mike

Roberts regarding this issue at the same time we discussed how we would proceed in Eastern. As of today no one from Cavalier has contacted Mike. In order to proceed, as per our agreement, we need to establish an escrow and procedure for this post inspection. I suggest the post inspection be performed jointly with Dominion and Cavalier engineers. Cavalier needs to be provide the funds for this escrow so we can proceed in Central as we have in Northern and Eastern. The post inspection of

your build in this area is important to the continued safe reliable delivery of electric service for the customers in the Richmond area.

Northern

1. Tim Hess submitted three pole locations with NESC violations for correction based on information gathered following work performed under the TRO. These locations were discovered while performing non-Cavalier field engineering work. Cathy and Tim have exchanged E-mail messages, and I believe two of the issues have been cleared. The third location involves issues with the land owner and we are exploring possible solutions to this guying issue.

2. Cathy Underwood has submitted 5 permits on which Cavalier has completed field work and is ready for Dominion to perform a post inspection.

On July 26th, our engineer met with your representative to post-inspect two of the permits. The preliminary report on the post-inspection indicated that it went very well with only a few minor violations that your representative indicated would be corrected in a timely manner. The post inspection of the remaining three permits is scheduled for August 8th. The three poles mentioned in Northern issue 1 will be part of this inspection.

3. An escrow account must be established in order for Dominion to proceed with the post inspection of these permits. I suggest the amount of the escrow be set at \$10,000 and that the post inspections be performed jointly.

We received the funds for this escrow account on July 20th and the post inspections are going well as mentioned above.

4. Cavalier is in the process of completing field work on additional permits (approximately 20). These permits will be post inspected upon Cavalier's notification to Dominion of completion of Cavalier's construction.

I am not aware of any additional information on these permits at this time. Any information that you might be able to provide at this time concerning Cavalier's schedule for completion of this construction would be helpful for our planning and staffing purposes.

5. There are several permits in various stages of release and construction. I believe Cathy and Tim should meet to discuss these permits. Tim has tried to contact Cathy but their schedules have not yet allowed a meeting.

Due to a death in the family, Tim has had to take some unexpected time off. This meeting still needs to take place.

6. Cavalier is completing a package of information which you will submit to me so we can proceed with the pilot effort of utilizing a single contractor for engineering and construction of all facilities (or portions thereof as set forth by Cavalier). Cavalier has established a limited scope project and has spent time since our May 30th meeting preparing the package for submission.

7. Upon submission of the package, Dominion will proceed with it's effort to submit the bid and elicit to cooperation of other attachers for the procedure.

I received this package on July 18th and have reviewed the route and determined the existing attachers. I am working on some minor changes to the Statement of Work which I will discuss with you. I have contacted Mastec and I am in the process of setting meetings with the existing attachers. I hope to complete those meetings this week. Once I have established the willingness of other attachers to participate, I will contact you with a progress report and we can discuss how to proceed. In order to facilitate the meetings with the existing attachers I would like to have seven additional copies (24" by 32") of the "Overview Route Drawing" and an electronic copy of the "Written Route Description".

I will follow this E-mail with a phone call so we can discuss any of these issues, determine the best way to obtain the additional "Route Drawings" and discuss any other items you may have.

Perkins, Stephen

From: Ashenden, Matt
Sent: Thursday, August 09, 2001 8:53 AM
To: 'Jay_Griles@dom.com'
Cc: Sims, Larry; Heinze, Daniel; Perkins, Stephen
Subject: RE: Status Update

Jay,

Thank you for the update. I'd like to make a few comments/questions so that we are all on the same page. Please call to discuss further if any of them concern you or are not in line with how things are going.

Northern - I would appreciate it if you could let me know how the meetings went this week with the attachers in that area. I'm interested to know if they are willing to accept the improved process that allows a single entity to complete the make-ready.

Eastern - Is the progress that you noted with Cox and KMC related to only correcting existing issues that were created during the TRO or does it include getting agreement from these entities to allow a single make-ready effort to complete the few segments that have not started construction on the Peninsula?

Central - Cavalier is waiting to provide money for the post inspection of the Richmond network until we can get a sense of the success of the improved process. As I noted in an e-mail sent recently, Cavalier will make funds available to post inspect poles that have new applicants, in an effort to not inconvenience others, as long as the post inspection is done in an efficient manner during the new engineering effort and not as a separate effort. Please see this guarded approach as our effort to ensure that we do not spend more on a network that cost notably more than it should have until we have some sense that a solution has been found.

Your efforts on these matters are appreciated. Cavalier looks forward to completing these networks using the revised process.

Matt R. Ashenden
Director of Engineering

-----Original Message-----

From: Jay_Griles@dom.com [mailto:Jay_Griles@dom.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 2:44 PM
To: mashenden@cavtel.com
Cc: Kelly_Mansfield@dom.com; Cheryl_Hunt@dom.com; Tim_Hess@dom.com;
Mike_Roberts@dom.com; William_Glascock@dom.com
Subject: Status Update

Matt,

Welcome back from vacation. I will use this E-mail from 7/10 as a format to update you on the status of the various ongoing activities.

Eastern

1. An escrow account of \$10,000 is in place for engineering activity in Eastern.

2. The engineers from Cavalier and Dominion have surveyed the seven permits that had not been previously released to Cavalier. Some engineering was required to update the cost on those permits. The cost for three permits will be submitted to Cavalier (Dan Heinze) on Wednesday July 11th. The remaining four permits had issues which Cavalier's field engineer requested to be referred to Dan. This discussion will also occur on Wednesday.

My discussions with Dan have revealed that the engineering for these permits has been completed and the cost letters are in the hands of Cavalier awaiting payment. Please see additional information following Eastern issue number 3.

3. Permits (23) covering poles to which Cavalier has attached are in the process of post inspection. This post inspection was originally planned

as a joint effort however, Cavalier has requested that Dominion proceed with post inspection without the presence of a Cavalier engineer. The post inspection is ongoing. Issues discovered on the post inspection will be referred to Cavalier on an ongoing basis. These issues should be cleared in a timely manner. Issues requiring solely the rearrangement of other attachers prior to Cavalier's correction effort will be discussed with those attachers.

The post inspection of these permits is ongoing. Several issues exist in the field that are related to Cavalier's construction following the issuance

of the district court's temporary restraining order (TRO). The resolutions of these issues are complicated by the dispute between Cavalier and other attachers (Cox and KMC) whose attachments were existing on some of these poles at the time of Cavalier's attachment. On Thursday July 26th I

spent the day in the field familiarizing myself with issues and determining possible solutions. On July the 30th I met with Cox and KMC to discuss possible resolutions that would allow Cavalier to complete your build in Eastern and leave the poles in compliance with NESC and ready for possible future

attachers. Both Cox and KMC agreed to work with us in order to "clean up" the poles. When Cheryl Hunt, the engineer handling the Cavalier build in Eastern, returns from vacation on August 6th she will contact Cox and KMC and work out the details for the process of clearing issues on poles with attachments as well as proceeding with make ready for the seven permits mentioned in issue 2 above. On August 1st I spoke with Dan Heinze to

make him aware of the progress that had been made and also to alerted him that Ms. Hunt may be contacting him around the 6th of August to

discuss matters such as sharing a contractor with KMC to clear some issues that will require close coordination between the two companies as well as other matters that may arise.

4. The engineering escrow account will be replenished based on a monthly statement from Dominion.

You will soon be receiving a statement so the escrow amount can be replenished.

Central

1. Post inspection of nearly the entire build (approximately 160 permits) has yet to be performed. I requested you have Dan Heinze contact Mike

Roberts regarding this issue at the same time we discussed how we would proceed in Eastern. As of today no one from Cavalier has contacted Mike. In order to proceed, as per our agreement, we need to establish an escrow

and procedure for this post inspection. I suggest the post inspection be performed jointly with Dominion and Cavalier engineers.

Cavalier needs to be provide the funds for this escrow so we can proceed in Central as we have in Northern and Eastern. The post inspection of your build in this area is important to the continued safe reliable delivery of electric service for the customers in the Richmond area.

Northern

1. Tim Hess submitted three pole locations with NESC violations for correction based on information gathered following work performed under the

TRO. These locations were discovered while performing non-Cavalier field engineering work.

Cathy and Tim have exchanged E-mail messages, and I believe two of the issues have been cleared. The third location involves issues with the land owner and we are exploring possible solutions to this guying issue.

2. Cathy Underwood has submitted 5 permits on which Cavalier has completed field work and is ready for Dominion to perform a post inspection.

On July 26th, our engineer met with your representative to post

-inspect two of the permits. The preliminary report on the post-inspection

indicated that it went very well with only a few minor violations that

your representative indicated would be corrected in a timely manner. The post

inspection of the remaining three permits is scheduled for August 8th.

The three poles mentioned in Northern issue 1 will be part of this inspection.

3 An escrow account must be established in order for Dominion to proceed with the post inspection of these permits I suggest the amount of the

escrow be set at \$10,000 and that the post inspections be performed jointly.

We received the funds for this escrow account on July 20th and the post inspections are going well as mentioned above

4. Cavalier is in the process of completing field work on additional permits (approximately 20). These permits will be post inspected upon Cavalier's notification to Dominion of completion of Cavalier's construction.

I am not aware of any additional information on these permits at this time. Any information that you might be able to provide at this time concerning Cavalier's schedule for completion of this construction would be helpful for our planning and staffing purposes.

5 There are several permits in various stages of release and construction. I believe Cathy and Tim should meet to discuss these permits.

Tim has tried to contact Cathy but their schedules have not yet allowed a meeting.

Due to a death in the family, Tim has had to take some unexpected time off. This meeting still needs to take place.

6. Cavalier is completing a package of information which you will submit to me so we can proceed with the pilot effort of utilizing a single

contractor for engineering and construction of all facilities (or portions thereof as set forth by Cavalier). Cavalier has established a limited scope

project and has spent time since our May 30th meeting preparing the package for submission.

7. Upon submission of the package, Dominion will proceed with it's effort to submit the bid and elicit to cooperation of other attachers for the procedure.

I received this package on July 18th and have reviewed the route and determined the existing attachers. I am working on some minor changes to the Statement of Work which I will discuss with you. I have contacted Mastec and I am in the process of setting meetings with the existing attachers. I hope to complete those meetings this week. Once I have established the willingness of other attachers to participate, I will contact you with a progress report and we can discuss how to proceed. In order to facilitate the meetings with the existing attachers I would like to have seven additional copies (24" by 32") of the "Overview Route Drawing" and an electronic copy of the "Written Route Description".

I will follow this E-mail with a phone call so we can discuss any of these issues, determine the best way to obtain the additional "Route Drawings"

and
discuss any other items you may have.

Perkins, Stephen

From: Jay_Griles@dom.com
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 2:44 PM
To: Ashenden, Matt
Cc: Kelly_Mansfield@dom.com; Cheryl_Hunt@dom.com; Tim_Hess@dom.com, Mike_Roberts@dom.com; William_Glascock@dom.com
Subject: Status Update

Matt,
Welcome back from vacation. I will use this E-mail from 7/10 as a format to update you on the status of the various ongoing activities.

Eastern

1. An escrow account of \$10,000 is in place for engineering activity in Eastern.
2. The engineers from Cavalier and Dominion have surveyed the seven permits that had not been previously released to Cavalier. Some engineering was required to update the cost on those permits. The cost for three permits will be submitted to Cavalier (Dan Heinze) on Wednesday July 11th. The remaining four permits had issues which Cavalier's field engineer requested to be referred to Dan. This discussion will also occur on Wednesday.
My discussions with Dan have revealed that the engineering for these permits has been completed and the cost letters are in the hands of Cavalier awaiting payment. Please see additional information following Eastern issue number 3.
3. Permits (23) covering poles to which Cavalier has attached are in the process of post inspection. This post inspection was originally planned as a joint effort however, Cavalier has requested that Dominion proceed with post inspection without the presence of a Cavalier engineer. The post inspection is ongoing. Issues discovered on the post inspection will be referred to Cavalier on an ongoing basis. These issues should be cleared in a timely manner. Issues requiring solely the rearrangement of other attachments prior to Cavalier's correction effort will be discussed with those attachments.
The post inspection of these permits is ongoing. Several issues exist in the field that are related to Cavalier's construction following the issuance of the district court's temporary restraining order (TRO). The resolutions of these issues are complicated by the dispute between Cavalier and other attachments (Cox and KMC) whose attachments were existing on some of

these poles at the time of Cavalier's attachment. On Thursday July 26th I spent the day in the field familiarizing myself with issues and determining possible solutions. On July the 30th I met with Cox and KMC to discuss possible resolutions that would allow Cavalier to complete your build in Eastern and leave the poles in compliance with NESC and ready for possible future attachers. Both Cox and KMC agreed to work with us in order to "clean up" the poles. When Cheryl Hunt, the engineer handling the Cavalier build in Eastern, returns from vacation on August 6th she will contact Cox and KMC and work out the details for the process of clearing issues on poles with attachments as well as proceeding with make ready for the seven permits mentioned in issue 2 above. On August 1st I spoke with Dan Heinze to make him aware of the progress that had been made and also to alerted him that Ms. Hunt may be contacting him around the 6th of August to discuss matters such as sharing a contractor with KMC to clear some issues that will require close coordination between the two companies as well as other matters that may arise.

4. The engineering escrow account will be replenished based on a monthly statement from Dominion. You will soon be receiving a statement so the escrow amount can be replenished.

Central

1. Post inspection of nearly the entire build (approximately 160 permits) has yet to be performed. I requested you have Dan Heinze contact Mike

Roberts regarding this issue at the same time we discussed how we would proceed in Eastern. As of today no one from Cavalier has contacted Mike. In order to proceed, as per our agreement, we need to establish an escrow and procedure for this post inspection. I suggest the post inspection be performed jointly with Dominion and Cavalier engineers.

Cavalier needs to be provide the funds for this escrow so we can proceed in Central as we have in Northern and Eastern. The post inspection of your build in this area is important to the continued safe reliable delivery of electric service for the customers in the Richmond area.

Northern

1. Tim Hess submitted three pole locations with NESC violations for correction based on information gathered following work performed under the

TRO. These locations were discovered while performing non-Cavalier field engineering work.

Cathy and Tim have exchanged E-mail messages, and I believe

two of the issues have been cleared. The third location involves issues with the land owner and we are exploring possible solutions to this guying issue.

2. Cathy Underwood has submitted 5 permits on which Cavalier has completed field work and is ready for Dominion to perform a post inspection.

On July 26th, our engineer met with your representative to post

-inspect two of the permits. The preliminary report on the

post-inspection

indicated that it went very well with only a few minor violations that

your representative indicated would be corrected in a timely manner.

The post

inspection of the remaining three permits is scheduled for

August 8th.

The three poles mentioned in Northern issue 1 will be part of this inspection.

3. An escrow account must be established in order for Dominion to proceed with the post inspection of these permits. I suggest the amount of the

escrow be set at \$10,000 and that the post inspections be performed jointly.

We received the funds for this escrow account on July 20th and the post inspections are going well as mentioned above.

4. Cavalier is in the process of completing field work on additional permits (approximately 20). These permits will be post inspected upon Cavalier's notification to Dominion of completion of Cavalier's construction.

I am not aware of any additional information on these permits at this time. Any information that you might be able to provide at this time concerning Cavalier's schedule for completion of this construction would be helpful for our planning and staffing purposes.

5. There are several permits in various stages of release and construction. I believe Cathy and Tim should meet to discuss these permits.

Tim has tried to contact Cathy but their schedules have not yet allowed a meeting

Due to a death in the family, Tim has had to take some unexpected time off. This meeting still needs to take place.

6. Cavalier is completing a package of information which you will submit to me so we can proceed with the pilot effort of utilizing a single

contractor for engineering and construction of all facilities (or portions thereof as set forth by Cavalier). Cavalier has established a limited scope

project and has spent time since our May 30th meeting preparing the package for submission.

7. Upon submission of the package, Dominion will proceed with

it's
effort to submit the bid and elicit to cooperation of other attachers
for the

procedure.

I received this package on July 18th and have reviewed the
route and
determined the existing attachers I am working on some minor changes to
the Statement of Work which I will discuss with you. I have contacted
Mastec
and I am in the process of setting meetings with the existing attachers.
I hope to complete those meetings this week. Once I have established
the
willingness of other attachers to participate, I will contact you with a
progress report and we can discuss how to proceed. In order to
facilitate the
meetings with the existing attachers I would like to have seven
additional copies (24" by 32") of the "Overview Route Drawing" and an
electronic
copy of the "Written Route Description".

I will follow this E-mail with a phone call so we can discuss any of
these
issues, determine the best way to obtain the additional "Route Drawings"
and
discuss any other items you may have.

Perkins, Stephen

From: Jay_Griles@dom.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2001 1:52 PM
To: Ashenden, Matt
Cc: Tim_Hess@dom.com, Mike_Roberts@dom.com; Kelly_Mansfield@dom.com
Subject: Status

Matt,

With so much going on in both companies I thought it would be good to list the status of the various activities.

Eastern

1. An escrow account of \$10,000 is in place for engineering activity in Eastern.
2. The engineers from Cavalier and Dominion have surveyed the seven permits that had not been previously released to Cavalier. Some engineering was required to update the cost on those permits. The cost for three permits will be submitted to Cavalier (Dan Heinze) on Wednesday July 11th. The remaining four permits had issues which Cavalier's field engineer requested to be referred to Dan. This discussion will also occur on Wednesday.
3. Permits (23) covering poles to which Cavalier has attached are in the process of post inspection. This post inspection was originally planned as a joint effort however, Cavalier has requested that Dominion proceed with post inspection without the presence of a Cavalier engineer. The post inspection is ongoing. Issues discovered on the post inspection will be referred to Cavalier on an ongoing basis. These issues should be cleared in a timely manner. Issues requiring solely the rearrangement of other attachers prior to Cavalier's correction effort will be discussed with those attachers.
4. The engineering escrow account will be replenished based on a monthly statement from Dominion.

Central

1. Post inspection of nearly the entire build (approximately 160 permits) has yet to be performed. I requested you have Dan Heinze contact Mike Roberts regarding this issue at the same time we discussed how we would proceed in Eastern. As of today no one from Cavalier has contacted Mike. In order to proceed, as per our agreement, we need to establish an escrow and procedure for this post inspection. I suggest the post inspection be performed jointly with Dominion and Cavalier engineers.

Northern

1. Tim Hess submitted three pole locations with NESC violations for correction based on information gathered following work performed under the

TRO. These locations were discovered while performing non-Cavalier field engineering work.

2. Cathy Underwood has submitted 5 permits on which Cavalier has completed field work and is ready for Dominion to perform a post inspection.

3. An escrow account must be established in order for Dominion to proceed with the post inspection of these permits. I suggest the amount of the

escrow be set at \$10,000 and that the post inspections be performed jointly.

4. Cavalier is in the process of completing field work on additional permits (approximately 20). These permits will be post inspected upon Cavalier's notification to Dominion of completion of Cavalier's construction.

5. There are several permits in various stages of release and construction. I believe Cathy and Tim should meet to discuss these permits.

Tim has tried to contact Cathy but their schedules have not yet allowed a meeting.

6. Cavalier is completing a package of information which you will submit to me so we can proceed with the pilot effort of utilizing a single

contractor for engineering and construction of all facilities (or portions thereof as set forth by Cavalier). Cavalier has established a limited scope

project and has spent time since our May 30th meeting preparing the package for submission

7. Upon submission of the package, Dominion will proceed with it's effort to submit the bid and elicit to cooperation of other attachers for the procedure

I will follow this E-mail with a phone call so we can discuss any of these issues or any other items you may have prior to your extended time out of the office.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that true and accurate copies of the foregoing testimony were served this
22nd day of October, 2003 to the following persons, by the methods indicated:

by electronic mail and by overnight delivery to:

Karen Zacharia
Kathleen M. Grillo
Verizon Virginia Inc.
1515 North Court House Road, 5th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201
e-mail: karen.zacharia@verizon.com;
kathleen.m.grillo@verizon.com; and

James R. Young
Kimberly A. Newman
O'Melveny & Myers
1625 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
e-mail: jryoung@omm.com;
knewman@omm.com.



Counsel